

to attend either or both of the planned meetings and help staff identify the scope of environmental issues that should and should not be analyzed in the Haas-Kings River EA.

Two scoping meetings will be held on March 1, 1995, in the Clovis City Council Chambers. The Chambers are located at 1033 Fifth Street, Clovis, California. The first meeting will be held from 9:00 AM to 12:00 PM and will be oriented toward resource agency concerns. The second meeting will be held in the evening from 7:00 PM to 11:00 PM and will be oriented toward public participation.

Procedures

The meetings, which will be recorded by a stenographer, will become part of the formal record of the Commission's proceeding on the Haas-Kings River Project. Individuals presenting statements at the meetings will be asked to sign in before the meeting starts and to identify themselves for the record.

Concerned parties are encouraged to speak during the public meeting. Speaking time allowed for individuals will be determined before each meeting, based on the number of persons wishing to speak and the approximate amount of time available for the session. All speakers will be provided at least 5 minutes to present their views.

Objectives of the Scoping Meetings

At the scoping meetings, the staff will:

- Summarize the environmental issues tentatively identified for analysis in the EA;
- Identify resource issues that are of lesser importance and, therefore, do not require detailed analysis;
- Solicit from the meeting participants all available information, especially quantifiable data, concerning significant local resources; and
- Encourage statements from experts and the public on issues that should be analyzed in the EA.

Information Requested

Federal and state resource agencies, local government officials, interested groups, area residents, and concerned individuals are requested to provide any information they believe will assist the Commission staff to analyze the environmental impacts associated with relicensing the project. The types of information sought include the following:

- Data, reports, and resource plans that characterize the baseline physical, biological, or social environments in the vicinity of the projects; and

- Information and data that helps staff identify or evaluate significant environmental issues.

Scoping information and associated comments should be submitted to the Commission no later than March 31, 1995. Written comments should be provided at the scoping meeting or mailed to the Commission, as follows: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

All findings sent to the Secretary of the Commission should contain an original and 8 copies. Failure to file an original and 8 copies may result in appropriate staff not receiving the benefit of your comments in a timely manner. See 18 CFR 4.34(h).

All correspondence should clearly show the following caption on the first page:

FERC Project No. 1988: Haas-Kings River

Intervenors and interceders (as defined in 18 CFR 385.2010) who file documents with the Commission are reminded of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure requiring them to serve a copy of all documents filed with the Commission on each person whose name is listed on the official service list for this proceeding. See 18 CFR 4.34(b).

For further information, please contact Frankie Green at (202) 501-7704.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-2184 Filed 1-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-172-000]

Texas Gas Transmission Corporation; Request Under Blanket Authorization

January 24, 1995.

Take notice that on January 20, 1995, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky, 42301 filed in Docket No. CP95-172-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to add an interconnect with Egan Gas Storage Company, Inc. (Egan Gas), in Acadia Parish, Louisiana, under Texas Gas' blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-407-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Gas states that the new interconnect, to be known as the Tejas Power-Egan Meter Station, will be located on Texas Gas' Eunice-Eastwood 20" pipeline and will be used as both a receipt and delivery point interconnecting the facilities of Texas Gas and an underground salt cavern gas storage facility owned and operated by Egan Gas. Texas Gas further states that the proposed interconnect will consist of a bi-directional measurement facility which will include two 12-inch meter runs, flow control and related facilities installed and owned by Egan Gas, but operated by Texas Gas; and 8" side valve to be installed, owned and operated by Texas Gas. Texas Gas advises that Egan Gas will reimburse Texas Gas in full for the cost of the facilities to be installed by Texas Gas.

Texas Gas also states that the maximum quantity of gas to be delivered and/or received through this proposed interconnect is 225,000 MMBtu per day and this delivery/receipt point will be available to all existing and potential shippers receiving service under Texas Gas' transportation rate schedules. Texas Gas asserts that this proposal will have no significant impact on its peak day and annual deliveries.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-2181 Filed 1-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER95-203-000 and Docket No. ER95-216-000]

UtiliCorp United, Inc. and Aquila Power Corporation; Issuance of Order

January 24, 1995.

On November 18, 1994, UtiliCorp United, Inc. (UtiliCorp) submitted for filing proposed transmission tariffs in

Docket No. ER95-203-000. In a separate filing on November 18, 1994, Aquila Power Corporation (Aquila), a power marketing subsidiary of UtiliCorp, filed an application requesting Commission approval to sell electricity at market-based rates.

Aquila's application also contained a request for certain blanket approvals consistent with the Commission's treatment of other power marketers. In particular, Aquila requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liabilities by Aquila. On January 13, 1995, the Commission issued an Order Accepting For Filing, Suspending And Setting For Hearing Proposed Transmission Tariffs, Accepting For Filing And Suspending Market-Based Rate Schedule, and Granting And Denying Requests For Waivers And Authorizations (Order), in the above-docketed proceedings.

The Commission's January 13, 1995 Order granted the request for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the following conditions found in Ordering Paragraphs (J), (K), and (M):

"(J) Within 30 days of the date of this order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the Commission's blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities by Aquila should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214.

(K) Absent a request to be heard within the period set forth in ordering paragraph (J) above, Aquila is hereby authorized, pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act, to issue securities and assume obligations or liabilities as guarantor, endorser, security, or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issue or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of Aquila, compatible with the public interest, and reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes."

"(M) The Commission reserves the right to modify this order to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued Commission approval of Aquila's issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities * * *."

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene

or protests, as set forth above, is February 13, 1995.

Copies of the full text of the order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, Room 3308, 941 North Capitol Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-2183 Filed 1-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. TM95-2-43-001]

Williams Natural Gas Co.; Compliance Filing

January 24, 1995.

Take notice that on January 17, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), pursuant to the Commission's order issued December 30, 1994¹ filed information regarding Alden storage fuel and loss, and Rate Schedule X-24 storage capacity, fuel and loss.

WNG states that Attachment A to the filing shows the actual fuel usage each month, actual calculated storage loss for 1993 and 1994, and the estimate of 1994 fuel and loss used to calculate the 3.96% fuel reimbursement factor which WNG supplied on November 2, 1994 in Docket Nos. RP94-172 and RP94-205.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure 18 CFR 385.211. All such protests should be filed on or before January 31, 1995. Protests will be considered by the commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-2189 Filed 1-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-173-000]

Wyoming Interstate Company; Application

January 24, 1995.

Take notice that on January 23, 1995, Wyoming Interstate Company, (WIC), Post Office Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for an order granting permission

and approval to abandon a transportation service provided by WIC for Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) accompanied by Columbia's payment of an exit fee to WIC, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that WIC and Columbia entered into a Service Agreement dated August 15, 1983 (Service Agreement), covered by Rate Schedule T of WIC's FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, which provides for the transportation of up to 83,000 Mcf per day from the interconnection between Overthrust Pipeline Company and WIC at the westernmost point of WIC's system to the interconnect between WIC and Trailblazer Pipeline Company at the easternmost point of WIC's system. WIC states that the Service Agreement has a termination date of January 1, 2004. However, pursuant to an Exit Fee Agreement (Exit Agreement) between WIC and Columbia, the parties have agreed among other things, to terminate Columbia's contractual obligation under the Service Agreement through the payment of a negotiated exit fee by Columbia to WIC in consideration for WIC's agreement to early termination and abandonment of the Service Agreement. WIC requests that the abandonment be effective upon the approval date as defined in the Exit Agreement (included as Exhibit U to the application). WIC also requests authority to charge an exit fee as provided in the Exit Agreement.

WIC states that Columbia has informed it that Columbia has restructured its services pursuant to Order No. 636, and no longer can use the firm transportation service provided under the Service Agreement. It is stated that Columbia has sought to assign some or all of its capacity on WIC to its customers consistent with Order No. 636, and has posted the availability of said capacity on its electronic bulletin board as well as on WIC's electronic bulletin board, and has been unsuccessful in finding any party or parties desirous of taking over Columbia's entitlement.

WIC states that the abandonment authorization requested herein by WIC would terminate the transportation service for Columbia, which Columbia no longer requires. Therefore, WIC believes that the information and data set forth herein show that the abandonment of the transportation service sought by WIC for Columbia and the imposition of an exit fee by WIC for early termination and abandonment

¹ 69 FERC § 61.426.